# Algorithms for L1 minimization

- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference



- Homotopy Method
  - Background Knowledge of Convex Analysis
  - Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting



### Subdifferential

• The subdifferential of a convex function  $F: \mathbb{R}^N \to (-\infty, \infty]$  at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is defined by

$$\partial F(x) = \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^N : F(z) \ge F(x) + \langle v, z - x \rangle \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^N \}$$

• The elements of  $\partial F(x)$  are called subgradients of F at x

• A vector x is a minimum of a convex function F if and only if  $0 \in \partial F(x)$ 



- Homotopy Method
  - Background Knowledge of Convex Analysis
  - Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting



## Fundamental Concepts

- Objective :  $x^{\sharp} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x\|_1$  subject to Ax=y,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- Basis pursuit denoising :  $x_{\lambda} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^N}{argmin} \frac{1}{2} \|Ax y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$
- $\bullet \lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} x_{\lambda} = x^{\sharp}$
- Iteratively construct  $\lambda_n$  and  $x_n$  such that  $\lambda_n \to 0^+$  and  $x_n = x_{\lambda_n}$   $\to$  in this way,  $x_n$  will converge to  $x^{\sharp}$
- Let  $F_{\lambda_n} = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax y||_2^2 + \lambda_n ||x||_1$
- $x_n = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} F_{\lambda_n}(x) \Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial F_{\lambda_n}(x_n) = A^*(Ax_n y) + \lambda_n \partial \|x_n\|_1$ 
  - $(A^*(Ax_n y))_{\ell} = -\lambda_n sgn((x_n)_{\ell}), \text{ if } (x_n)_{\ell} \neq 0 (1)$
  - $|(A^*(Ax_n-v))_{\ell}| < \lambda_n$ , if  $(x_n)_{\ell} = 0$  (2)



- Let  $c^{(j)} = A^*(Ax_{j-1} y)$
- Initialization :

$$\ell_1 = \underset{\ell \in [N]}{\operatorname{argmax}} |c_{\ell}^{(1)}|, \ S_1 = \{\ell_1\}$$

- Step 1 :
  - $\textbf{0} \ \ \mathsf{compute} \ \ \textit{d}^{(1)} \Rightarrow \textit{d}^{(1)}_{\ell_1} = \frac{\mathit{sgn}((A^*y)_{\ell_1})}{\|\mathbf{a}_{\ell_1}\|_2^2} = \frac{-\mathit{sgn}(\mathbf{c}^{(1)}_{\ell_1})}{\|\mathbf{a}_{\ell_1}\|_2^2}, \ \ \textit{d}_{\ell} = 0, \ \ell \not \in S_1$
  - 2  $x_1 = x_0 + \gamma_1 d^{(1)} = \gamma_1 d^{(1)}$

Up to now, we have  $(A^*(Ax_1-y))_\ell=(\lambda_0-\gamma_1) sgn(c_{\ell_1}^{(1)})$ 



• Step 1 :

$$\begin{split} &(A^*(Ax_1-y))_{\ell} = -(\lambda_0-\gamma_1) \textit{sgn}((x_1)_{\ell}), \ \ell \in S_1 \text{ - (1)} \\ &|(A^*(Ax_1-y))_{\ell}| \leq (\lambda_0-\gamma_1), \ \ell \not \in S_1 \text{ - (2)} \end{split}$$

should be satisfied

(1) is satisfied

$$\textbf{ § } \ell_2 = \underset{\ell \not \in S_1}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \frac{\lambda_0 + c_\ell^{(1)}}{1 - (A^* A d^{(1)})_\ell}, \frac{\lambda_0 - c_\ell^{(1)}}{1 + (A^* A d^{(1)})_\ell} \}$$

**6** 
$$S_2 = \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$$



- Step j=2,3,...:

  - 2  $x_j = x_{j-1} + \gamma_j d^{(j)}$

Up to now, we have 
$$(A^*(Ax_j - y))_{\ell} = (\lambda_{j-1} - \gamma_j) sgn(c_{\ell}^{(j)}), \ \ell \in S_j$$
  
 $(A^*(Ax_j - y))_{\ell} = -(\lambda_{j-1} - \gamma_j) sgn((x_j)_{\ell}), \ \ell \in S_j$  - (1)  
 $|(A^*(Ax_j - y))_{\ell}| \leq (\lambda_{j-1} - \gamma_j), \ \ell \not\in S_j$  - (2)  
should be satisfied

• Step j=2,3,...:

$$\gamma_{-}^{(j)} = \min_{\ell \in S_{j}, d_{\ell}^{(j)} \neq 0} \{-(x_{j-1})_{\ell}/d_{\ell}^{(j)}\} \Rightarrow \text{ to satisfy (1)}$$

$$\gamma_{+}^{(j)} = \min_{\ell \notin S_{j}} \{\frac{\lambda_{j-1} + c_{\ell}^{(j)}}{1 - (A^{*}Ad^{(j)})_{\ell}}, \frac{\lambda_{j-1} - c_{\ell}^{(j)}}{1 + (A^{*}Ad^{(j)})_{\ell}}\} \Rightarrow \text{ to satisfy (2)}$$

$$\gamma = \min\{\gamma_{-}^{(j)}, \gamma_{+}^{(j)}\}$$

$$\ell_{-}^{(j)} = \underset{\ell \in S_{j}, d_{\ell}^{(j)} \neq 0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{-(x_{j-1})_{\ell}/d_{\ell}^{(j)}\}$$

$$\ell_{+}^{(j)} = \underset{\ell \notin S_{j}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{\frac{\lambda_{j-1} + c_{\ell}^{(j)}}{1 - (A^{*}Ad^{(j)})_{\ell}}, \frac{\lambda_{j-1} - c_{\ell}^{(j)}}{1 + (A^{*}Ad^{(j)})_{\ell}}\}$$

$$if \ell^{(j)} = \underset{\ell \in S_{j}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{\gamma_{-}^{(j)}, \gamma_{+}^{(j)}\} = \ell_{-}^{(j)} \Rightarrow S_{j+1} = S_{j} \setminus \{\ell_{-}^{(j)}\}$$

$$if \ell^{(j)} = \underset{\ell \in S_{j}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{\gamma_{-}^{(j)}, \gamma_{+}^{(j)}\} = \ell_{-}^{(j)} \Rightarrow S_{j+1} = S_{j} \setminus \{\ell_{-}^{(j)}\}$$

< ロ ト ∢ ┛ ト ∢ 差 ト ◆ 差 ・ 夕 Q (^)

## **Analysis**

- Assume the minimizer of the origin  $\ell_1$ -minimization problem is unique and the minimizer  $\ell^{(j)}$  in each step is unique
  - $\Rightarrow$  The algorithm stops when  $\lambda_j=\|c^{(j+1)}\|_{\infty}=0$ , i.e., when the residual vanishes, and it outputs  $x^{\sharp}=x_j$
- It is observed empirically in sparse recovery problems that the homotopy method merely removes elements from the active set
  - $\to$  if we do not consider  $\gamma_-^{(j)},$  then homotopy method reduces to the LARS (least angle regression) algorithm
- The homotopy and LARS methods are very efficient when the solution is very sparse. However, they only apply to the real case

- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference



- 1 Homotopy Method
- Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
  - Background Knowledge of Linear Algebra
  - Method
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

### Pseudo Inverse

$$A=U\Sigma V^*=\sum\limits_{j=1}^r\sigma_j(A)u_jv_j^*,\ A\in\mathbb{C}^{m imes N}$$
 is rank r $A^\dagger=V\Sigma^{-1}U^*=\sum\limits_{j=1}^r\sigma_j^{-1}(A)v_ju_j^*$ 

- $A^{\dagger}$  is rank r
- If A is invertible  $\Rightarrow A^{\dagger} = A^{-1}$
- $\sigma_{\max}(A^{\dagger}) = \|A^{\dagger}\|_{2 \to 2} = \sigma_r^{-1}(A)$
- If  $A^*A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is invertible  $(m \ge n) \Rightarrow A^{\dagger} = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$
- If  $AA^* \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is invertible  $(n \geq m) \Rightarrow A^\dagger = A^*(AA^*)^{-1}$



### Least Squares Problem 1

- ullet Objective :  $\displaystyle \mathop{\textit{minimize}}_{x} \|Ax y\|_{2}$  ,  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $m \geq n$ , full rank n
- Method 1 : Project y to range of A  $\langle y - Ax, Ax \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle A^*y - A^*Ax, x \rangle = 0$   $\Rightarrow A^*y = A^*Ax : x = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*y = A^\dagger y$
- Method 2 :



## Least Squares Problem 2

- Objective :  $\min_{x} \max \|x\|_2$  subject to Ax = y
- Method :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{\sharp} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda^{T} (A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = -\frac{1}{2} A^{*} \lambda \\ & \therefore A\mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{y} \therefore -\frac{1}{2} A A^{*} \lambda = \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \lambda = -2 (AA^{*})^{-1} \mathbf{y} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = A^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
  - Background Knowledge of Linear Algebra
  - Method
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

- Objective :  $\displaystyle \mathop{minimize}_{x \in \mathbb{C}^N} \|x\|_1$  subject to Ax=y,  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$   $m \leq N$
- Key :  $|t| = |t|^2/|t|$  for  $t \neq 0$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  naive idea :  $\underset{x}{\textit{minimize}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j|^2 |x_j^{\sharp}|^{-1}$  subject to Ax=y
  - ⇒ advantage : we can minimize a quadractic function disadvantage :
    - $\triangleright x^{\sharp}$  is unknown
    - $x^{\sharp}$  is sparse  $\Rightarrow$  inverse will becomes infinity

Mature objective function :

$$J(x, w, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} |x_j|^2 w_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\epsilon^2 w_j + w_j^{-1}) \right]$$

 $\Rightarrow$  substitute the role of  $x^{\sharp}$  with  $w_j$ 

$$ightarrow$$
 When  $x^{\sharp}=0$ ,  $w_{j}=|x_{j}^{\sharp}|^{-1}
ightarrow\infty$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  we use  $\epsilon^2 w_j$  to regularize  $w_j$  from being too large while also using the regularization term  $w_i^{-1}$  to prevent  $w_j$  from being too small

#### Iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS)

Input:  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$ ,  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ .

Parameter:  $\gamma > 0, s \in [N]$ .

Initialization:  $\mathbf{w}^0 = [1, 1, \dots, 1]^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^N, \varepsilon_0 = 1.$ 

Iteration: repeat until  $\varepsilon_n = 0$  or a stopping criterion is met at  $n = \bar{n}$ :

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} := \underset{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}^n, \varepsilon_n) \quad \text{subject to } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y},$$
 (IRLS<sub>1</sub>)

$$\varepsilon_{n+1} := \min\{\varepsilon_n, \gamma(\mathbf{x}^{n+1})_{s+1}^*\},\tag{IRLS}_2$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{n+1} := \underset{\mathbf{w}>0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{x}^{n+1}, \mathbf{w}, \varepsilon_{n+1}). \tag{IRLS}_3)$$

*Output:* A solution  $\mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{x}^{\bar{n}}$  of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ , approximating the sparsest one.



• 
$$IRLS_1: x^{n+1} = \underset{z}{argmin} J(z, w^n, \epsilon_n)$$
 subject to Az=y 
$$\Rightarrow x^{n+1} = \underset{z}{argmin} \frac{1}{2} [\sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 w_j^n] \text{ subject to Az=y}$$
 Let  $D_{W^n} = diag[w_1^n, w_2^n, \cdots, w_n^n]$  and make a substitution  $x = D_{W^n}^{1/2} z$  
$$\Rightarrow D_{W^n}^{1/2} x^{n+1} = \underset{x}{argmin} \|x\|_2 \text{ subject to } AD_{W^n}^{-1/2} x = y$$
 
$$\Rightarrow x^{n+1} = D_{W^n}^{-1/2} (AD_{W^n}^{-1/2})^{\dagger} y$$
 
$$= D_{W^n}^{-1/2} A^* (AD_{W^n}^{-1/2})^{\dagger} y$$
 
$$= D_{W^n}^{-1} A^* (AD_{W^n}^{-1/2})^{\dagger} y$$
 
$$= D_{W^n}^{-1} v, \text{ where } (AD_{W^n}^{-1/2})^{\dagger} v = y$$
 
$$\rightarrow \text{ can use conjugate gradient method to solve}$$

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ \mathit{IRLS}_3: \ \mathit{w}^{n+1} &= \underset{w>0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \mathit{J}(\mathit{x}^{n+1}, \mathit{w}, \epsilon_{n+1}) \\ &= \underset{w>0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \tfrac{1}{2} [\sum_{j=1}^{\mathit{N}} |\mathit{x}_{j}^{n+1}|^2 \mathit{w}_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{\mathit{N}} \epsilon_{n+1}^2 \mathit{w}_{j} + \mathit{w}_{j}^{-1}] \\ &\Rightarrow \mathit{w}_{j}^{n+1} = \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathit{x}_{i}^{n+1}|^2 + \epsilon_{n+1}^2}}, \ \mathit{j} \in [\mathit{N}] \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow$  we find that  $\epsilon_{n+1}$  can effectively prevent  $w_j^{n+1}$  from exploding; however, we hope that  $\epsilon_{n+1}$  can tend to zero

- $IRLS_2$  :  $\epsilon_{n+1} := \min\{\epsilon_n, \gamma(x^{n+1})_{s+1}^*\}$ 
  - ightharpoonup is nonincreasing
  - ▶ As x tends to s-sparse,  $\epsilon$  can also decrease.

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference



- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Cases
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

• Objective :  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} F(Ax) + G(x)$   $\equiv \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, z \in \mathbb{R}^m} F(z) + G(x) \text{ subject to } Ax - z = 0$   $(A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}; F : \mathbb{C}^m \to (-\infty, \infty], G : \mathbb{C}^N \to (-\infty, \infty] \text{ are two convex functions})$ 

#### Primal-Dual Algorithm

Input:  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$ , convex functions F, G.

*Parameters:*  $\theta \in [0,1], \tau, \sigma > 0$  such that  $\tau \sigma \|\mathbf{A}\|_{2\to 2}^2 < 1$ .

Initialization:  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^N, \boldsymbol{\xi}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{x}^0.$ 

*Iteration:* repeat until a stopping criterion is met at  $n = \bar{n}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} := P_{F^*}(\sigma; \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \sigma \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n), \tag{PD_1}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} := P_G(\tau; \mathbf{x}^n - \tau \mathbf{A}^* \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}), \tag{PD_2}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} := \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n). \tag{PD_3}$$

*Output:* Approximation  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\sharp} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the dual problem (15.16), Approximation  $\mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{x}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the primal problem (15.15).



## Convex Conjugate

• Given a function  $F: \mathbb{R}^N \to (-\infty, \infty]$ , the convex conjugate function of F is the function  $F^*: \mathbb{R}^N \to (-\infty, \infty]$  defined by

$$F^*(y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \{\langle x, y \rangle - F(x) \}$$

- The convex conjugate  $F^*$  is always a convex function
- By the definition of convex conjugate, we can get the Fenchel (or Young, or Fenchel-Young) inequality

$$\langle x, y \rangle \le F(x) + F^*(y) \ \forall x, y \in R^N$$

▶ If  $x \in \partial F^*(y)$  (equivalently,  $y \in \partial F(x)$ ), then equality holds



#### Primal-Dual Algorithm

Input:  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$ , convex functions F, G.

Parameters:  $\theta \in [0, 1], \tau, \sigma > 0$  such that  $\tau \sigma \|\mathbf{A}\|_{2\to 2}^2 < 1$ .

Initialization:  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^N, \boldsymbol{\xi}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{x}^0.$ 

*Iteration:* repeat until a stopping criterion is met at  $n = \bar{n}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} := P_{F^*}(\sigma; \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \sigma \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n), \tag{PD_1}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} := P_G(\tau; \mathbf{x}^n - \tau \mathbf{A}^* \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}), \tag{PD_2}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} := \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n). \tag{PD_3}$$

*Output:* Approximation  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\sharp} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the dual problem (15.16), Approximation  $\mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{x}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the primal problem (15.15).



## **Proximal Mapping**

- $P_F(z) := \underset{x \in R^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} F(x) + \frac{1}{2} ||x z||_2^2$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  the proximal mapping associated with F
- $x = P_F(z)$  if and only if  $z \in x + \partial F(x) \Rightarrow P_F = (Id + \partial F)^{-1}$
- Moreau's identity :  $P_F(z) + P_{F^*}(z) = z$
- $P_G(\tau; z) := P_{\tau G}(z); P_{F^*}(\sigma; z) := P_{\sigma F^*}(z)$

#### Primal-Dual Algorithm

Input:  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}$ , convex functions F, G.

*Parameters:*  $\theta \in [0,1], \tau, \sigma > 0$  such that  $\tau \sigma \|\mathbf{A}\|_{2\to 2}^2 < 1$ .

Initialization:  $\mathbf{x}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^N, \boldsymbol{\xi}^0 \in \mathbb{C}^m, \bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{x}^0.$ 

*Iteration:* repeat until a stopping criterion is met at  $n = \bar{n}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} := P_{F^*}(\sigma; \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \sigma \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n), \tag{PD_1}$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} := P_G(\tau; \mathbf{x}^n - \tau \mathbf{A}^* \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}), \tag{PD_2}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} := \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n). \tag{PD_3}$$

*Output:* Approximation  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\sharp} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the dual problem (15.16), Approximation  $\mathbf{x}^{\sharp} = \mathbf{x}^{\bar{n}}$  to a solution of the primal problem (15.15).



# Optimization problems with a composite objective function

- Primal problem :  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} F(Ax) + G(x)$   $\equiv \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, z \in \mathbb{R}^m} F(z) + G(x) \text{ subject to } Ax z = 0$
- Lagrange function :  $L(x, z, \xi) = F(z) + G(x) + \langle \xi, Ax z \rangle$
- • Lagrange dual function :  $H(\xi) = \inf_{x,z} L(x,z,\xi)$   $= -F^*(\xi) - G^*(-A^*\xi)$
- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Dual} \ \mathsf{problem} : \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m} (-F^*(\xi) G^*(-A^*\xi))$
- By strong duality : it is equivalent to solving a saddle-point problem  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m} Re\langle Ax, \xi \rangle + G(x) F^*(\xi)$



## Fixed-Point Interpretation

• Fix  $x = x^{\sharp}$ :

the saddle-point problem becomes

$$\max_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m} Re\langle Ax^{\sharp}, \xi \rangle + G(x^{\sharp}) - F^*(\xi) = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m} -Re\langle Ax^{\sharp}, \xi \rangle + G(x^{\sharp}) + F^*(\xi)$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi^{\sharp}$$
 is a minimizer iff  $0 \in -Ax^{\sharp} + \partial F^{*}(\xi^{\sharp})$ 

• Fix  $\xi = \xi^{\sharp}$  :

the saddle-point problem becomes

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} Re\langle Ax, \xi^{\sharp} \rangle + G(x) - F^*(\xi^{\sharp})$$

 $\Rightarrow x^{\sharp}$  is a minimizer iff  $0 \in A^*\xi^{\sharp} + \partial G(x^{\sharp})$ 

## Fixed-Point Interpretation

• Fixed  $x = x^{\sharp}$  to iterate  $\mathcal{E}$ :  $0 \in -Ax^{\sharp} + \partial F^{*}(\xi^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow \sigma A x^{\sharp} \in \sigma \partial F^*(\xi^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} + \sigma A x^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} + \sigma \partial F^{*}(\mathcal{E}^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow \xi^{n+1} := P_{F^*}(\sigma; \xi^n + \sigma A \bar{x}^n)$ • Fixed  $\xi = \xi^{\sharp}$  to iterate x :  $0 \in A^* \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} + \partial G(x^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow -\tau A^* \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} \in \tau \partial \mathcal{G}(x^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow x^{\sharp} - \tau A^* \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} \in x^{\sharp} + \tau \partial G(x^{\sharp})$  $\Rightarrow x^{n+1} := P_G(\tau; x^n - \tau A^* \xi^{n+1})$ 

# Algorithm Settings

- Initialization :  $\mathbf{x}^0 = \bar{\mathbf{x}}^0 = \mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\xi^0 = 0$
- $||A||_{2\to 2} = \sigma_{\max}(A)$  : choose  $\tau, \sigma$  such that  $\tau\sigma < \sigma_{\max}(A)^{-2}$
- A practical stopping criterion can be based on the primal-dual gap  $E(x,\xi)=F(Ax)+G(x)+G^*(-A^*\xi)+F^*(\xi)\geq 0$  i.e., stops when  $E(x^n,\xi^n)\leq \eta$  for a prescribed tolerance  $\eta>0$

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Cases
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

## $\ell_1$ -minimization problem

• Objective :  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{C}^N} ||x||_1$  subject to Ax=y

- $F^*(\xi) = \langle y, \xi \rangle \Rightarrow P_{F^*}(\sigma, z) = z \sigma y$
- $P_G(\tau,z)=S_{\tau}(z)$ , where  $S_{\tau}(z)$  is the soft thresholding operator operated entrywise on z

$$S_{ au}(z_{\ell}) = egin{cases} sgn(z_{\ell})(|z_{\ell}- au|) & |z_{\ell}| \geq au \ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$



## $\ell_1$ -minimization problem

• The primal-dual algorithm :

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \sigma(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^n - \mathbf{y}),$$
  

$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \mathcal{S}_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}^n - \tau\mathbf{A}^*\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}),$$
  

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} = \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n).$$

## quadractically constrained $\ell_1$ -minimization problem

• Objective :  $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1$  subject to  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2 \leq \eta$ 

$$\bullet \ \mathit{F}^*(\xi) = \mathit{Re}\langle \xi, \mathit{y} \rangle + \eta \| \xi \|_2$$

• 
$$P_{F^*}(\sigma, \xi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \|\xi - \sigma y\|_2 \le \eta \sigma \\ (1 - \frac{\eta \sigma}{\|\xi - \sigma y\|_2})(\xi - \sigma y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



### quadractically constrained $\ell_1$ -minimization problem

• The primal-dual algorithm :

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} &= P_{F^*}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}; \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \|\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n - \mathbf{y}\|_2 \leq \eta, \\ \left(1 - \frac{\eta \boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n - \mathbf{y})\|_2}\right) (\boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \boldsymbol{\sigma} (\mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n - \mathbf{y})) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{x}^{n+1} &= \mathcal{S}_{\tau}(\mathbf{x}^n - \boldsymbol{\tau} \mathbf{A}^* \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}), \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} &= \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta(\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n). \end{split}$$

# $\ell_1$ -regularized least squares problem

• Objective :  $\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$ 

• 
$$\begin{cases} F(z) = \frac{\gamma}{2} ||z - y||_2^2 \\ G(x) = ||x||_1 \end{cases}$$

- $\bullet \ \mathit{F}^*(\xi) = \mathit{Re}\langle \mathit{y}, \xi \rangle + \tfrac{\|\xi\|_2^2}{2\gamma}$
- $P_{F^*}(\sigma;\xi) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+\sigma}(\xi-\sigma y)$



# $\ell_1$ -regularized least squares problem

• The primal-dual algorithm :

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma + \sigma} \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \sigma (\mathbf{A} \bar{\mathbf{x}}^n - \mathbf{y}) \right),$$
  
$$\mathbf{x}^{n+1} = \mathcal{S}_{\tau} (\mathbf{x}^n - \tau \mathbf{A}^* \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}),$$
  
$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{n+1} = \mathbf{x}^{n+1} + \theta (\mathbf{x}^{n+1} - \mathbf{x}^n).$$

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference



- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

### Objective

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} F(x) + G(Bx)$$

$$\equiv \min_{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^N} F(x) + G(y) \text{ subject to } y = Bx$$

- F and G are both lower semicontinuous and convex
- B\*B is invertible

Augmented Lagrangian of index  $\tau > 0$ :

$$L_{\tau}(x, y, \xi) = F(x) + G(y) + \frac{1}{\tau} Re\langle \xi, Bx - y \rangle + \frac{1}{2\tau} ||Bx - y||_2^2$$



#### Iteration Rule

• Fix 
$$y = y^{\sharp}$$
,  $\xi = \xi^{\sharp}$ , minimize over x  

$$\Rightarrow 0 \in \tau \partial F(x) + B^*Bx + B^*(\xi^{\sharp} - y^{\sharp})$$
Let  $P_F^B(\tau; y) = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ \tau F(z) + \frac{1}{2} \|Bz - y\|_2^2 \}$   

$$\Rightarrow x^n = P_F^B(\tau; y^n - \xi^n)$$

- ② Fix  $x = x^{\sharp}$ ,  $\xi = \xi^{\sharp}$ , minimize over y  $\Rightarrow 0 \in \tau \partial G(y) + y - \xi^{\sharp} - Bx^{\sharp}$   $\Rightarrow v^{n+1} = P_G(\tau; Bx^n + \xi^n)$
- Fix  $x = x^{\sharp}$ ,  $y = y^{\sharp}$ , minimize over  $\xi$  $\Rightarrow 0 = Bx^{\sharp} - y^{\sharp}$   $\Rightarrow \xi^{n+1} = \xi^n + Bx^n - y^{n+1}$



- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

#### Basis Pursuit

• Objective :  $\underset{x}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|x\|_1$  subject to Ax=y

• Iteration :

2 
$$y^{n+1} = S_{\tau}(x^n + \xi^n)$$

**3** 
$$\xi^{n+1} = \xi^n + x^n - y^{n+1}$$

# $\ell_1$ -regularized least squares problem

- $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{Objective}: \ \underset{x}{\mathit{minimize}} \ \tfrac{1}{2} \|\mathit{Ax} \mathit{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|x\|_1$
- $F(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax y||_2^2$ ,  $G(x) = \lambda ||x||_1$
- Iteration :
  - **1**  $x^n = (A^*A + \tau^{-1}Id)^{-1}(A^*y + \tau^{-1}(y^n \xi^n))$
  - **2**  $y^{n+1} = S_{\tau \lambda}(x^n + \xi^n)$

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference

- Homotopy Method Table of Contents
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference

### Objective

$$\mathop{\textit{minimize}}_{x} F(x) + G(x)$$

- F is differentiable and convex
- $\nabla F$  is L-Lipschitz  $\Rightarrow \|\nabla F(x) \nabla F(y)\|_2 \le L\|x y\|_2 \ \forall x, y$
- G is lower semicontinuous and convex

#### Iteration Rule

- $x^{\sharp} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathsf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow 0 \in \nabla F(x^{\sharp}) + \partial G(x^{\sharp})$ 
  - To iterate x

$$\Rightarrow x^{\sharp} - \tau \nabla F(x^{\sharp}) \in x^{\sharp} - \tau \partial G(x^{\sharp})$$
$$\Rightarrow x^{n+1} := P_{G}(\tau; x^{n} - \tau \nabla F(x^{n}))$$

- Forward step :  $z^n = x^n \tau \nabla F(x^n) \rightarrow \text{gradient method}$ (from  $x^n$  forward to  $z^n$ )
- Backward step :  $x^{n+1} = P_G(\tau; z^n) \to \text{proximal point algorithm}$   $\Rightarrow z^n \in x^{n+1} + \tau \partial G(x^{n+1}) \to \text{subgradient step}$ (from  $x^{n+1}$  backward to  $z^n$ )
- ullet Convergence is guaranteed if au < 2/L



#### Accelerated Proximal Gradient Method

- Initialization :  $x^0 = z^0$ ,  $t_0 = 1$
- Iteration :

2 
$$t_{n+1} = \frac{1+\sqrt{4t_n^2+1}}{2}$$
,  $\lambda_n = 1 + \frac{t_n-1}{t_{n+1}}$ 

3 
$$z^{n+1} = x^n + \lambda_n(x^{n+1} - x^n)$$

- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting

# $\ell_1$ -regularized least squares problem

- Objective :  $\min_{x} \frac{1}{2} ||Ax y||_{2}^{2} + \lambda ||x||_{1}$ 
  - ►  $F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|Ax y\|_2^2 \Rightarrow \nabla F(x) = A^* (Ax y)$   $\to \|\nabla F(x) - \nabla F(y)\|_2 = \|A^* A(x - z)\|_2 \le \|A^* A\|_{2 \to 2} \|x - z\|_2$  $\therefore \nabla F(x)$  is L-Lipschitz with  $L \le \|A^* A\|_{2 \to 2} = \|A\|_{2 \to 2}^2$
  - $G(x) = ||x||_1$
- Forward-Backward Algorithm :  $x^{n+1} := S_{\lambda \tau}(x^n \tau A^*(Ax^n y))$   $\Rightarrow$  iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm (ISTA) or iterative soft-thresholding
- $\bullet$  convergence is guaranteed if  $\tau < 2/\|\textbf{\textit{A}}\|_{2\to 2}^2$



# Fast Iterative Shrinkage-Thresholding Algorithm (FISTA)

2 
$$t_{n+1} = \frac{1+\sqrt{4t_n^2+1}}{2}$$
,  $\lambda_n = 1 + \frac{t_n-1}{t_{n+1}}$ 

3 
$$z^{n+1} := x^n + \lambda_n(x^{n+1} - x^n)$$

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference

- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case



## Objective

$$\mathop{\mathit{minimize}}_{x} \mathit{F}(x) + \mathit{G}(x)$$

• F and G are both convex, but not necessarily need to be differentiable

#### Iteration Rule

- $x^{\sharp} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathsf{G}(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow 0 \in \partial \mathit{F}(x^{\sharp}) + \partial \mathit{G}(x^{\sharp})$
- Introduce another variable z to separately consider F and G Let  $z^{\sharp} \in x^{\sharp} + \tau \partial F(x^{\sharp}) \rightarrow x = P_F(\tau;z)$   $\Rightarrow z^{\sharp} x^{\sharp} \in \tau \partial F(x^{\sharp})$

$$\Rightarrow 2x^{\sharp} - z^{\sharp} \in x^{\sharp} + \tau \partial G(x^{\sharp}) \rightarrow x = P_F(\tau; z) = P_G(\tau; 2P_F(\tau; z) - z)$$

- Iteration :

 $0 \in \mathbf{z}^{\sharp} - \mathbf{x}^{\sharp} + \tau \partial \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}^{\sharp})$ 

2  $z^{n+1} = P_G(\tau; 2x^n - z^n) - x^n + z^n$ 



- 1 Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
  - Algorithm
  - Actual Case



#### Basis Pursuit

- Objective :  $\min_{x} ||x||_1$  subject to Ax = y
  - $F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & Ax = y \\ \infty & otherwise \end{cases}$   $\Rightarrow P_F(\tau; x) = \underset{z}{argmin} \{ \|z x\|_2 \text{ subject to Az=y } \} = x + A^{\dagger}(y Ax)$
  - $G(x) = ||x||_1$
- Iteration :

  - $z^{n+1} = S_{\tau}(2x^n z^n) x^n + z^n$



- Homotopy Method
- 2 Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares
- 3 Chambolle and Pock's Primal-Dual Algorithm
- 4 Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers
- 5 Forward-Backward Splitting Method
- 6 Douglas-Rachford Splitting
- Reference



#### Reference



Simon Foucart, Holger Rauhut, "A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing", chapter 15